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The crystal structure of harmotome has been determined. There is one chemical unit of

$$
\mathrm{Ba}_{2} \mathrm{Al}_{4} \mathrm{Si}_{12} \mathrm{O}_{32} .12 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}
$$

in the unit cell of symmetry $P 2_{1}$ with

$$
a_{0}=9 \cdot 87, b_{0}=14 \cdot 14, c_{0}=8.72 \AA ; \beta=124^{\circ} 50^{\prime}
$$

The crystal is pseudorhombic; upon transformations: $a_{0}{ }^{\prime}=a_{0}, b_{0}{ }^{\prime}=b_{0}$ and $c_{0}{ }^{\prime}=2 c_{0}+a_{0}$, the new $\beta$ angle becomes as nearly $90^{\circ}$ as $90^{\circ} 23^{\prime}$. The ( $y, z$ ) coordinates of atoms were determined from the Patterson (100) projection with the aid of the minimum function method. A simplified sharpening procedure was applied to the Patterson (010) projection to evade the difficulty in identifying Ba-Ba peaks due to serious overlappings. Information obtained from this procedure and from the pseudosymmetry of the crystal made it possible to locate Ba atoms in the projection, and the framework of the structure was derived from the minimum function. The refinements were started on the basis of space group $P 2_{1} / m$, since the statistical test of the diffracted X-ray intensities suggested a nearly centrosymmetric arrangement of atoms, and the deviations from it were taken into consideration at the final stage.

The structure is based upon a three-dimensional alumino-silicate framework composed of fourand eight-membered rings of oxygen tetrahedra, and bears close resemblance to the feldspar structures. Through the framework, channels run along [100] and [010], barium atoms and water molecules being situated in them. Barium atoms are surrounded by six oxygen atoms and four water molecules. The cruciform twinning characteristic of the crystal is satisfactorily explained by the structure. Probable differences in structures between harmotome and phillipsite were suggested.

The zeolites are classified into three groups according to the features of frameworks composed of silicon (aluminium)-oxygen tetrahedra in their structures. The first group is the fibrous zeolites, the structures of which can be described as built up of parallel strings of the tetrahedra, and natrolite, thomsonite and edingtonite are the typical members of this group. The second is the group notable for their perfect lamellar cleavage, and the crystal structures are supposed to be based upon some kind of sheet framework. Heulandite, stilbite, and brewsterite, for example, belong to this group. The last group, of which analcite, chabazite and phillipsite are the important members, consists of crystals which have neither fibrous feature nor perfect lamellar cleavage.

Among these minerals in the last group, the structures of the first two have been determined by Taylor (1930) and by Dent \& Smith (1958) respectively, but phillipsite has so far baffled our efforts. In order to obtain the systematic knowledge on the structural principle of this group of zeolites, therefore, it is essential to determine the structure of this crystal, and the structure analysis of harmotome, the mineral presumably isomorphous with phillipsite, was attempted in hope that barium atoms in it might make solution of the problem much easier.
Another problem in view upon the structure analysis
was on a peculiar character of the crystals; they are invariably piezoelectric in spite of their holohedral forms. This kind of pseudosymmetry, often disclosed optically and referred to as optical anomaly, has also been observed with other members of this group such as analcite and chabazite (Taylor, 1930; Wyart, 1933; Stewart, 1941; Ventriglia, 1953).

## 1. Experimental

The crystals used in this study are from Andreasberg, Hartz, Germany. They are colorless and often twinned on ( 001 ) and ( 021 ), and larger ones are more than $2 \times 2 \mathrm{~mm} .^{2}$ in cross-section. For intensity measurement, rods with the diameter of about 0.2 mm . were prepared, and reflections were recorded, by multiple film technique, in Weissenberg photographs taken with $\mathrm{Cu} K \alpha$ about the $a$ - and $b$-axes. Intensities were measured with a microphotometer and were checked by visual comparison. The relative intensities, corrected for the Lorentz and polarization factors, were put on to an absolute scale using Wilson's statistical method. No correction for absorption or extinction was made.
A close survey on the chemical formula of the mineral, based on the data appeared in Doelter's Handbuch (1921) as well as Meier's analysis (1939),
showed that the composition of the specimens under examination is best represented by
$\mathrm{Na}_{0.39} \mathrm{~K}_{0.21} \mathrm{Ca}_{0.08} \mathrm{Ba}_{1.94} \mathrm{Al}_{4.60} \mathrm{Si}_{11.39} \mathrm{O}_{32.00}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{12.22}$,
which is approximately equal to the conventional formula of the mineral, $\mathrm{Ba}_{2} \mathrm{Al}_{4} \mathrm{Si}_{12} \mathrm{O}_{32} .12 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. Therefore, the latter simple formula was adopted throughout the course of the structure analysis, and the alkali contents were taken into consideration only at the final stage.

## 2. Unit cell and space group

Harmotome is monoclinic and the cell dimensions obtained from Weissenberg and powder patterns are:

$$
\begin{gathered}
a_{0}=9 \cdot 87 \pm 0 \cdot 01, b_{0}=14 \cdot 14 \pm 0 \cdot 01, c_{0}=8 \cdot 72 \pm 0 \cdot 01 \AA \\
\beta=124^{\circ} 50^{\prime} \pm 10^{\prime}, \quad \text { and } \quad V=999 \AA^{3} .
\end{gathered}
$$

These are in good agreement with the values reported by Sekanina \& Wyart (1937). There is one chemical unit of $\mathrm{Ba}_{2} \mathrm{Al}_{4} \mathrm{Si}_{12} \mathrm{O}_{32} .12 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ in the unit cell, giving $\varrho_{c}=2 \cdot 43$ in comparison with $\varrho_{o}=2 \cdot 35$.

The $0 k 0$ reflections with $k$ odd are absent, no other systematic absence being observed. Therefore, the possible space group is either $P 2_{1} / m$ or $P 2_{1}$ as was concluded by the above authors (1937). A test for piezoelectricity was carried out by the method developed by Iitaka (1956). The result was positive and especially in the direction of the $b$-axis the effect was rather strong, indicating the true space group to be $P 2_{1}\left(C_{2}^{2}\right)$.

Kalp \& Klotsch (1944) suggested, as the result of their study on the symmetry of vicinal faces on (010), (100) and (101), that harmotome is orthorhombic. In fact, if we convert the axes into a new set so as to satisfy the relations: $a_{0}{ }^{\prime}=a_{0}, b_{0}{ }^{\prime}=b_{0}$ and $c_{0}{ }^{\prime}=2 c_{0}+a_{0}$, we can regard the lattice approximately as a basecentred orthorhombic one, since the new $\beta$ angle becomes as nearly $90^{\circ}$ as $90^{\circ} 23^{\prime}$. An additional evidence of the pseudorhombic nature of harmotome
was observed in intensity distribution in the $X$-ray diffraction patterns; the patterns are, in a fairly good approximation, symmetrical by reflection across the plane $l=0$ in reciprocal space. Then, since the $h^{\prime} k^{\prime} 0$ reflections with $k^{\prime}$ odd are all very weak, on neglection of these the possible space group of the pseudorhombic structure may be said to correspond to $B m 2_{1} b\left(C_{2 r}^{12}\right)$. This space group was of great help to determine the positions of barium atoms as will be seen later.

## 3. Structure analysis

As stated above, the true space group of the crystal is $P 2_{1}$. However, since the morphological aspects of this mineral always simulate a holohedral symmetry, we assumed in the first place that the main part of the structure would be constructed almost centrosymmetrically, and started the analysis on the basis of the centrosymmetric space group $P 2_{1} / m$.

A Patterson (100) projection was prepared as shown in Fig. l. Since the plane group of the projection along the $a$-axis of the space group is $p g$, the plane group of the corresponding Patterson projection is $p m m$. $\mathrm{Ba}-\mathrm{Ba}$ peaks must therefore lie along the line $y=\frac{1}{2}$, because there are only two barium atoms in the unit cell. In fact, three peaks are observed along the line as designated by $A, B$ and $C$ in Fig. l. Accordingly, three kinds of minimum functions were prepared, each by assuming each of these peaks as corresponding to a $\mathrm{Ba}-\mathrm{Ba}$ distance. It has become clear from these diagrams that the first two do not give us a clue to the solution, failing to indicate any reasonable linkage of oxygen tetrahedra, but the last one renders a promising interpretation as follows.

Besides the peak of a barium atom, there are two salient peaks in an asymmetric unit of the $M_{2}$ map as shown in Fig. 2. If we assign two silicon (aluminium) atoms and one oxygen atom combined to each of the peaks and the remaining oxygen atoms to some of the


Fig. 1. Patterson (100) projection, $P(y, z)$. Contours are at equal but arbitrary intervals.


Fig. 2. Minimum function $\left(M_{2}\right)$ derived from $P(y, z)$ by assuming peak $C$ in Fig. 1 as corresponding to a Ba-Ba distance.


Fig. 3. Patterson (010) projection, $P(x, z)$. Contours are at equal but arbitrary intervals.
other peaks, we can obtain a three-dimensional linkage of four-membered rings of tetrahedra. The 0 kl structure factors were then calculated using the parameters estimated from the $M_{2}$ map. Though the contributions by water molecules were altogether neglected at this stage, a considerably good agreement between observed and calculated structure factors was obtained, giving the $R$-factor 0.35 .

Next, the Patterson function $P(x, z)$ was calculated to determine the $x$-parameters (Fig. 3). Since the period along the direction of the projection is as long as $14 \AA$, some overlappings seem to be so serious as to obscure $\mathrm{Ba}-\mathrm{Ba}$ peaks. However, the knowledge on the pseudosymmetry of the crystal provided a clue to the identification of the peaks. The space group of the pseudorhombic structure is $B m 2_{1} b$ and there are four barium atoms in the converted unit cell, so that these must lie on the mirror planes, occupying a set
of fourfold positions: $0, y^{\prime}, z^{\prime} ; 0, \bar{y}^{\prime}, \frac{1}{2}+z^{\prime} ; \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}+y^{\prime}, z^{\prime}$; $\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}-y^{\prime}, \frac{1}{2}+z^{\prime}$, and the corresponding Patterson peaks in the $b$-axis projection must lie along the lines $x^{\prime}=0$ and $x^{\prime}=\frac{1}{2}$. Since the $z$-parameter of the atom has been found from the $a$-axis projection as about $0 \cdot 200$, the possible peaks are either $A$ and its equivalent or $B$ and its equivalent in Fig. 3.


Fig. 4. Simplified sharpened-Patterson function calculated with the $h 0 l$ terms having $\sin \theta / \lambda>0.45$.


Fig. 5. Minimum function ( $M_{2}$ ) derived from $P(x, z)$ by assuming peak $B$ in Fig. 3 as corresponding to a Ba-Ba distance.

An attempt was then made to choose the correct set of $\mathrm{Ba}-\mathrm{Ba}$ peaks by preparing minimum functions and trying to deduce a reasonable structure from either of these sets. This procedure was, however, proved to become too diversified, since three structural models derivable by choosing peak $A$ and one by choosing peak $B$ seemed to be equally probable, all giving the same (100) projection. Now, there are 708 electrons in the unit cell, 440 of which belong to oxygen ions and water molecules. So, if we can find some method of reducing the contributions by these constituents to the Patterson function, difficulty in identifying the $\mathrm{Ba}-\mathrm{Ba}$ peaks due to overlappings should be much ameliorated, and the following procedure was found to answer the purpose.

Since the atomic scattering factor for an oxygen ion decreases with the increase of $\sin \theta$ more rapidly than those for cations in this crystal, if a sharpened Patterson function is calculated, it will enhance the contributions by cations in contrast with those by oxygen ions. For this purpose, however, it is not necessary to follow the ordinary procedure of postulating point atoms for sharpened Patterson synthesis, but it suffices to calculate a function consisting of $F^{2}$ terms whose $\sin \theta / \lambda$ 's are larger than an appropriate value. This simplified sharpened-function corresponds to the convolution of the Patterson function with the Fourier transform of a pulse function, while the ordinary one to the convolution of the Patterson function with the transform of a function whose value is $1 / \hat{f}^{2}$ up to the maximum reciprocal coordinate for the observation and is zero thereafter. A simplified sharpened-function was actually calculated by employing the $h 0 l$ terms having their $\sin \theta / \lambda$ 's larger than 0.45 as shown in Fig. 4, and it was found that peak $B$, rather inconspicuous in the previous Patterson map, had become a relatively high peak in the new map, while peak $A$ had completely disappeared from the line
$z=0 \cdot 200$. Hence, the Ba-Ba peaks were unequivocally identified as $B$ and its equivalent.

Now that the correct set of Ba-Ba peaks had been obtained as above, the ( 010 ) projection of the structure was produced as shown in Fig. 5. This structure was refined by successive Fourier syntheses, during the course of which the positions of some of the water molecules were determined, and the $R$-factor decreased to 0.29 from its initial value, $0 \cdot 47$.

## 4. Refinement of the structure

The structure thus derived was based upon the centrosymmetric space group $P 2_{1} / m$. Therefore, it seemed then worthwhile to estimate at what stage of refinement we should be able to look for the deviation of the structure from the centrosymmetric arrangement. For this purpose, a statistical test for symmetry centres was carried out as follows.

In the first place, in order to make the results of the test reliable, we must eliminate the disturbance due to heavy atoms in the crystal under examination. Average must therefore be taken over such a set of reflections that throughout these the contributions by the heavy atoms are comparable in magnitude with one another (Collin, 1955; Sim, 1958). For the case considered here, this requirement will quite easily be satisfied for the 0 kl reflections by taking advantage of the fact that the $y$-and $z$-coordinates of the barium atom happen to be simple fractions as $y=0 \cdot 250$ and $z=0 \cdot 200$. Namely, it is possible to divide the reflections into six sets in such a way that the absolute values of the contributions by the atoms to the geometrical structure factors are to remain exactly constant for whole reflections in each set. On the other hand, it is obvious that to make the above grouping is to render the number of reflections in each set too small, so that for the actual calculation the combined prob-
ability distributions $N(z)$ 's were taken according to the relation,

$$
N(z)=\sum_{i=1}^{6} m_{i} N_{i}\left(\langle I\rangle z /\left\langle I_{i}\right\rangle\right) / \sum_{i=1}^{6} m_{i},
$$

where $N_{i}$ is the probability distribution for the $i$ th set, $m_{i}$ the total number of reflections in the set, $\left\langle I_{i}\right\rangle$ the averaged intensity of the set and $\langle I\rangle$ the averaged value for whole reflections. The theoretical $N(z)$ for centrosymmetric and non-centrosymmetric arrangements, both corresponding to the case under examination, are shown in Fig. 6 with the observed values. The figure indicates that, as far as the X-ray data are concerned, the crystal can be regarded as centrosymmetric in a close approximation.


Fig. 6. Intensity distribution of the $0 k l$ reflections. The probability distribution curves for the centric case, $N \overline{1} h(z)$, and for the non-centric case, $N 1 h(z)$, both obtained after eliminating the disturbance due to barium atoms, are shown by thick lines. Ordinary curves for the centric case, $N \overline{1}(z)$, and for the non-centric case, $N \mathrm{l}(z)$ are also given for comparison. Observed values are indicated by crosses.

According to the above result, refinements were still continued on the centrosymmetric space group, and five and seven cycles of refinements were made about the $a$ - and the $b$-axis projections respectively, both by means of the difference Fourier method. During these processes, the remaining water molecules were allocated to either conclusive or probable positions and the $R$-factors were reduced to 0.20 for $F(0 k l)$ 's and to $0 \cdot 18$ for $F(h 0 l)$ 's.

Some signs of departures of the structure from the centrosymmetric arrangement were then revealed as follows; firstly, peaks for some of silicon (aluminium) and oxygen atoms in these projections showed oval appearances, indicating deviations of the atomic positions from the centrosymmetrically related pairs, and secondly, peaks for the water molecules at probable positions were about half as high as those for the others at conclusive positions and some peaks with the heights equal to those of the former peaks were observed at unexpected positions, both suggesting necessity of re-distributing the molecules in a non-
centrosymmetric way. From this stage onward, therefore, the departures were taken into consideration and refinements were carried out, on the basis of the noncentrosymmetric space group $P 2_{1}$, both by difference Fourier syntheses and by steepest decents on 105 hkl reflections picked up by random sampling. The final results are as listed in Table 1 and as shown by the Fourier maps in Fig. 7(a) and (b), and the $R$-factors are 0.143 and 0.148 for $0 k l$ and $h 0 l$ reflections respectively. The calculated structure factors are also given in Table 2 for comparison with the observed ones.

Table 1. Final atomic coordinates and temperature factors

| Atom | $x$ | $y$ | $z$ | $B$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ba | $0 \cdot 136$ | 0.750 | 0.806 | $1.5 \AA^{2}$ |
| $\mathrm{Si}_{1}$ | $0 \cdot 267$ | 0.978 | $0 \cdot 716$ | 1 |
| $\mathrm{Si}_{2}$ | $0 \cdot 580$ | $0 \cdot 860$ | 0.987 | I |
| $\mathrm{Si}_{3}$ | 0.943 | 0.986 | 0.710 | 1 |
| $\mathrm{Si}_{4}$ | $0 \cdot 883$ | 0.859 | 0.965 | 1 |
| $\mathrm{Si}_{5}$ | 0.733 | 0.019 | $0 \cdot 284$ | 1 |
| $\mathrm{Si}_{6}$ | $0 \cdot 420$ | $0 \cdot 140$ | $0 \cdot 013$ | 1 |
| $\mathrm{Si}_{7}$ | 0.057 | $0 \cdot 009$ | $0 \cdot 290$ | 1 |
| $\mathrm{Si}_{8}$ | 0.117 | $0 \cdot 141$ | 0.035 | 1 |
| $\mathrm{O}_{1}$ | 0.897 | 0.908 | $0 \cdot 810$ | 3 |
| $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ | $0 \cdot 356$ | 0.078 | 0.832 | 3 |
| $\mathrm{O}_{3}$ | $0 \cdot 382$ | 0.885 | 0.826 | 1 |
| $\mathrm{O}_{4}$ | 0.987 | 0.090 | 0.836 | 3 |
| $\mathrm{O}_{5}$ | 0.099 | 0.962 | 0.710 | 1 |
| $\mathrm{O}_{6}$ | 0.693 | 0.878 | 0.910 | 1 |
| $\mathrm{O}_{7}$ | 0.213 | 0.993 | $0 \cdot 505$ | 1 |
| $\mathrm{O}_{8}$ | 0.412 | $0 \cdot 250$ | 0.969 | 2 |
| $\mathrm{O}_{9}$ | 0.084 | $0 \cdot 250$ | $0 \cdot 007$ | 2 |
| $\mathrm{O}_{10}$ | $0 \cdot 103$ | $0 \cdot 092$ | $0 \cdot 190$ | 3 |
| $\mathrm{O}_{11}$ | 0.644 | 0.922 | 0.168 | 3 |
| $\mathrm{O}_{12}$ | 0.618 | $0 \cdot 112$ | $0 \cdot 174$ | 1 |
| $\mathrm{O}_{13}$ | 0.013 | 0.910 | 0.164 | 3 |
| $\mathrm{O}_{14}$ | 0.901 | 0.038 | $0 \cdot 290$ | 1 |
| $\mathrm{O}_{15}$ | 0.307 | $0 \cdot 122$ | 0.090 | 1 |
| $\mathrm{O}_{16}$ | $0 \cdot 787$ | $0 \cdot 007$ | $0 \cdot 495$ | 3 |
| $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}-1$ | $0 \cdot 118$ | 0.750 | $0 \cdot 452$ | 3 |
| $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}-2$ | $0 \cdot 284$ | $0 \cdot 858$ | $0 \cdot 133$ | 3 |
| $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}-3$ | 0.471 | 0.915 | $0 \cdot 524$ | 3 |
| $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}-4$ | $0 \cdot 783$ | 0.750 | $0 \cdot 508$ | 3 |
| $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}-5$ | 0.317 | $0 \cdot 642$ | $0 \cdot 128$ | 3 |
| $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}-6$ | $0 \cdot 505$ | $0 \cdot 683$ | $0 \cdot 524$ | 3 |

## 5. Description of the structure and discussion

The interatomic distances are given in Table 3. The mean standard deviations of the atomic coordinates, calculated with Cruickshank's equation (1949a, b) by making allowance for the absence of the centre of symmetry, are $0.002 \AA$ for $\mathrm{Ba}, 0.004 \AA$ for $\mathrm{Si}(\mathrm{Al})$, $0.012 \AA$ for O and $0.022 \AA$ for $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. Another set of values, derived from Booth's equation (Booth \& Britten, 1948) by assuming the relative error of the observed structure factors as $0 \cdot 1$, are $0.005 \AA$ for Ba , $0.014 \AA$ for $\mathrm{Si}(\mathrm{Al}), 0.034 \AA$ for O and $0.041 \AA$ for $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$.

Each silicon or aluminium atom is surrounded tetrahedrally by four oxygen atoms, and the tetrahedra are linked together by sharing each corner, constructing a three-dimensional alumino-silicate framework. This framework essentially consists of

| $h k l$ | $\left\|F_{0}\right\|$ | $\left\|F_{c}\right\|$ | $\alpha / 2 \pi$ | $h k l$ | $\left\|F_{0}\right\|$ | $\left\|F_{c}\right\|$ | $\alpha / 2 \pi$ | hkl | $\left\|F_{o}\right\|$ | $\left\|F_{c}\right\|$ | $\alpha / 2 \pi$ | $h k l$ | $\mid F_{o}$ \| | $\left\|F_{c}\right\|$ | $\alpha / 2 \pi$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 100 | 84 | 107 | 0 | $\overline{8} 03$ | 42 | 40 | $0 \cdot 5$ | $\overline{3} 07$ | 83 | 72 | 0 | 061 | 39 | 40 | 0.518 |
| 200 | 0 | 2 | 0 | $\overline{9} 03$ | 0 | 2 | 0 | 407 | 50 | 34 | 0 | 071 | 32 | 26 | 0.021 |
| 300 | 29 | 36 | $0 \cdot 5$ | $\overline{10}, 0,3$ | 25 | 31 | 0 | $\overline{5} 07$ | 42 | 44 | 0 | 081 | 67 | 70 | 0.986 |
| 400 | 109 | 103 | 0.5 | 11,0,3 | 9 | 34 | 0 | $\overline{6} 07$ | 69 | 67 | 0.5 | 091 | 123 | 118 | 0.494 |
| 500 | 65 | 70 | $0 \cdot 5$ |  |  |  |  | $\overline{7} 07$ | 31 | 20 | 0.5 | 0,10,1 | 79 | 76 | 0.498 |
| 600 | 58 | 52 | $0 \cdot 5$ | 704 | 0 | 7 | 0 | $\overline{8} 07$ | 53 | 50 | 0.5 | 0,11,1 | 27 | 20 | 0.448 |
| 700 | 56 | 57 | 0 | 604 | 39 | 24 | $0 \cdot 5$ | 907 | 62 | 70 | $0 \cdot 5$ | 0,12,1 | 20 | 20 | 0.516 |
| 800 900 | 39 | 41 | 0 | 504 | 45 | 37 | 0 | -10,0,7 | 20 | 3 | 0 | 0,13,1 | 64 | 74 | 0.488 |
| 900 1000 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 404 | 15 | 6 | 0.5 | 11, 0,7 | 28 | 31 | 0 | 0,14,1 | 26 | 21 | 0.033 |
| 10,0,0 | 6 | 4 | $0 \cdot 5$ | 304 | 107 | 112 | $0 \cdot 5$ | $\overline{1} \mathbf{2}, 0,7$ | 55 | 63 | 0 | 0,15,1 | 24 | 15 | 0.056 |
|  |  |  |  | 204 | 33 | 29 | $0 \cdot 5$ |  |  |  |  | 0,16,1 | 21 | 11 | 0.037 |
| 901 | 59 | 57 | 0 | 104 | 37 | 38 | $0 \cdot 5$ | 208 | 23 | 29 | 0.5 | 0,17,1 | 46 | 46 | $0 \cdot 481$ |
| 801 | 28 | 29 | 0 | 004 | 119 | 112 | 0 | 108 | 42 | 38 | 0.5 | 0,18,1 | 32 | 34 | $0 \cdot 509$ |
| 701 | 0 | 11 | 0 | $\overline{1} 04$ | 99 | 90 | 0 | 008 | 35 | 31 | 0.5 |  |  |  |  |
| 601 | 59 | 68 | $0 \cdot 5$ | $\overline{2} 04$ | 64 | 66 | 0 | $\overline{1} 08$ | 47 | 47 | $0 \cdot 5$ | 012 | 71 | 64 | $0 \cdot 495$ |
| 501 | 76 | 73 | $0 \cdot 5$ | $\overline{3} 04$ | 0 | 10 | 0 | $\overline{2} 08$ | 37 | 41 | 0 | 022 | 81 | 74 | 0.498 |
| 401 | 130 | 145 | $0 \cdot 5$ | 404 | 32 | 28 | $0 \cdot 5$ | $\overline{3} 08$ | 84 | 80 | 0 | 032 | 72 | 68 | 0.008 |
| 301 | 28 | 26 | 0 | 504 | 86 | 86 | $0 \cdot 5$ | $\overline{4} 08$ | 47 | 45 | 0 | 042 | 99 | 118 | 0.521 |
| 201 | 40 | 36 85 | 0 | $\overline{6} 04$ | 100 | 103 | $0 \cdot 5$ | $\overline{5} 08$ | 37 | 41 | 0 | 052 | 17 | 1 | 0.797 |
| 101 001 | 80 109 | 85 136 | 0 | $\overline{7} 04$ $\overline{8} 04$ | 31 | 18 | 0 | $\overline{6} 08$ | 11 | 24 | 0.5 | 062 | 64 | 51 | 0.024 |
| $\overline{1} 01$ | 109 82 | 136 91 | ${ }_{0}^{0} 5$ | 804 904 | 41 | 29 | 0 | $\overline{7} 08$ $\overline{8} 08$ | 11 | 13 | 0.5 | 072 | 80 | 87 | 0.994 |
| $\overline{2} 01$ | 21 | 13 | 0 | $\overline{10} 00,4$ | 41 | 43 40 | 0 | $\overline{9} 08$ | 47 0 | 53 10 | 0.5 0 | 082 092 | 11 | 14 39 | 0.363 0.503 |
| $\overline{3} 01$ | 47 | 49 | 0 | $\overline{11}, 0,4$ | 17 | 14 | 0.5 | - $\overline{\mathbf{0}} \mathbf{0}, 0,8$ | 0 59 | 10 72 | 0 | 092 $0,10,2$ | 55 39 | 39 32 | 0.503 0.482 |
| $\underline{4} 01$ | 53 | 59 | $0 \cdot 5$ | $\overline{12}, 0,4$ | 35 | 42 | $0 \cdot 5$ | 111,0,8 | 12 | 13 | 0 | $0,10,2$ $0,11,2$ | 38 | 34 | 0.482 0.992 |
| 501 | 52 | 58 | 0 |  |  |  |  | $\overline{1} \overline{2}, 0,8$ | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0,12,2 | 59 | 41 | $0 \cdot 482$ |
| $\overline{6} 01$ | 44 | 40 | 0 | 605 | 13 | 15 | 0 |  |  |  |  | 0,13,2 | 13 | 1 | 0.750 |
| $\overline{7} 01$ | 144 | 133 | 0 | 505 | 30 | 30 | 0 | 009 | 26 | 32 | $0 \cdot 5$ | 0,14,2 | 64 | 60 | 0.994 |
| $\overline{8} 01$ | 14 | 10 | 0.5 0.5 | 405 | 71 | 76 | $0 \cdot 5$ | $\overline{1} 09$ | 18 | 14 | 0 | 0,15,2 | 38 | 45 | 0.988 |
| $\stackrel{9}{10} 0,1$ | 30 24 | 31 10 | 0.5 0 | 305 | 87 | 90 | $0 \cdot 5$ | $\overline{2} 09$ | 29 | 43 | 0 | 0,16,2 | 10 | 3 | 0.585 |
| 11,0,1 | 24 15 | 10 | $0 \cdot 5$ | 205 | 9 | 7 | 0 | $\overline{3} 09$ | 23 | 23 | 0 | 0,17,2 | 18 | 11 | 0.550 |
| 11,0,1 | 15 | 21 | $0 \cdot 5$ | 105 | 27 | 17 | 0.5 | $\overline{4} 09$ | 41 | 36 | $0 \cdot 5$ |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | 005 | 26 | 24 | 0 | $\overline{5} 09$ | 36 | 32 | $0 \cdot 5$ | 013 | 43 | 30 | 0.988 |
| 802 | 22 | 13 | $0 \cdot 5$ | $\underline{1} 05$ | 32 | 31 | 0 | $\overline{6} 09$ | 46 | 50 | $0 \cdot 5$ | 023 | 72 | 68 | 0.001 |
| 702 | 19 | 5 | $0 \cdot 5$ | $\overline{2} 05$ | 81 | 67 | 0 | $\overline{7} 09$ | 25 | 25 | 0.5 | 033 | 31 | 37 | 0.514 |
| 602 | 15 | 8 | 0 | $\overline{3} 05$ | 12 | 3 | 0 | $\overline{8} 09$ | 0 | 4 | 0.5 | 043 | 34 | 31 | $0 \cdot 449$ |
| 502 | $\begin{array}{r}0 \\ \\ \hline 1\end{array}$ | 3 | 0 | $\overline{4} 05$ | 141 | 159 | 0.5 | $\overline{9} 09$ | 47 | 51 | 0 | 053 | 107 | 101 | $0 \cdot 006$ |
| 402 | 23 | 18 | $0 \cdot 5$ | $\overline{5} 05$ | 9 | 20 | 0.5 | $\overline{1} \overline{0}, 0,9$ | 51 | 53 | 0 | 063 | 132 | 136 | 0.992 |
| 302 | 13 | 17 | 0 | $\overline{6} 05$ | 31 | 11 | 0 | \11,0,9 | 7 | 5 | $0 \cdot 5$ | 073 | 11 | 7 | 0.977 |
| 202 | 39 109 | 43 | 0 | $\overline{7} 05$ | 80 | 64 | 0 |  |  |  |  | 083 | 17 | 7 | $0 \cdot 693$ |
| 102 | 109 | 111 | 0.5 | $\overline{8} 05$ | 55 | 55 | 0 | $\underline{\overline{2}} \mathbf{-}, 0,10$ | 0 | 3 | $0 \cdot 5$ | 093 | 34 | 45 | 0.984 |
| -002 | 40 109 | 38 117 | 0.5 0.5 | $\overline{9} 05$ $\overline{10} 0,5$ | 56 | 51 | 0 | $\overline{3}, 0,10$ | 0 | 3 | $0 \cdot 5$ | 0,10,3 | 19 | 7 | 0.531 |
| $\frac{1}{2} 02$ | 109 11 | 117. | 0.5 0.5 | 10,0,5 | 42 30 | 23 27 | ${ }_{0}^{0} 0$ | $\overline{4}, 0,10$ | 29 | 26 | $0 \cdot 5$ | 0,11,3 | 0 | 6 | 0.903 |
| $\overline{3} 02$ | 130 | 122 | 0.5 0.5 | $\frac{11}{12} \mathbf{2}, 0,5$ | 30 19 | 27 12 | 0.5 0.5 | $\frac{5}{6}, 0,10$ | 21 | 21 | 0.5 | 0,12,3 | 59 | 61 | 0.512 |
| $\overline{4} 02$ | 85 | 86 | 0 | 12,0,5 | 19 | 12 | $0 \cdot 5$ | $\mathbf{6}, 0,10$ $7,0,10$ | 46 25 | 52 21 | 0.5 0.5 | $0,13,3$ $0,14,3$ | 63 63 | 64 56 | 0.985 0.003 |
| 502 | 106 | 108 | 0 | 406 | 17 | 24 | 0.5 | $\overline{8}, 0,10$ | 33. | 21 5 | $0 \cdot 5$ | $0,14,3$ $0,15,3$ | 63 0 | 56 4 | 0.003 0.750 |
| $\overline{6} 02$ | 53 | 49 | 0.5 | 306 | 0 | 5 | 0.5 | $\overline{9}, 0,10$ | 9 | 5 | 0 | $0,15,3$ $0,16,3$ | 24 | 29 | 0.750 0.490 |
| $\overline{7} 02$ | 0 | 12 | $0 \cdot 5$ | 206 | 33 | 39 | 0 | $\underline{10} 0,10$ | 9 | 9 | $0 \cdot 5$ | 0,17,3 | 14 | 20 | 0.980 |
| $\overline{8} 02$ | 54 | 47 | 0.5 | 106 | 13 | 2 | 0 | 11,0,10 | 18 | 23 | $0 \cdot 5$ | 0,17,3 |  | 20 | 0.980 |
| 902 | 16 | 14 | 0 | 006 | 84 | 82 | 0 |  |  |  |  | 014 | 28 | 31 | $0 \cdot 006$ |
| $\overline{1} \overline{0}, 0,2$ | 46 | 51 | 0 | $\overline{1} 06$ | 0 | I | 0 | $\overline{6}, 0,11$ | 14 | 19 | 0 | 024 | 11 | 12 | 0.979 |
| 11,0,2 | 0 | 3 | 0 | $\overline{2} 06$ | 0 | 3 | $0 \cdot 5$ | $\overline{\overline{7}}, 0,11$ | 27 | 33 | 0 | 034 | 108 | 101 | 0.494 |
|  |  |  |  | $\overline{3} 06$ | 62 | 61 | $0 \cdot 5$ | $\overline{8}, 0,11$ | 0 | 0 | 0.5 | 044 | 55 | 57 | 0.971 |
| 803 703 | 30 33 | 38 29 | 0.5 0 | $\overline{4} 06$ | 64 | 64 | $0 \cdot 5$ |  |  |  |  | 054 | 50 | 53 | 0.990 |
| 703 603 | 33 10 | 29 | 0 05 | $\overline{5} 06$ | 77 | 75 | 0 | 020 | 21 | 4 | 0.739 | 064 | 42 | 42 | 0.008 |
| 603 | 10 | 5 24 | 05 | $\overline{6} 06$ | 29 | 36 | 0.5 | 040 | 53 | 62 | 0.928 | 074 | 89 | 84 | $0 \cdot 499$ |
| 503 403 | 14 | 24 17 | 0 0 | 706 $\overline{8} 06$ | 45 0 | 41 | 0.5 0.5 | 060 | 68 187 | 52 | $0 \cdot 494$ | 084 | 63 | 60 | $0 \cdot 005$ |
| 303 | 21 | 37 | 0 | $\overline{9} 06$ | 0 | 1 | 0.5 0.5 | 080 0,100 | 187 89 | 200 | 0.002 | 094 | 13 | 18 | 0.459 |
| 203 | 9 | 13 | 0 | [10,0,6 | 27 | 7 | 0.5 | $0,10,0$ $0,12,0$ | 69 | 69 | 0.511 0.002 | $0,10,4$ $0,11,4$ | 34 50 | 26 57 | 0.511 0.491 |
| 103 | 94 | 107 | 0.5 | \11, 0,6 | 12 | 9 | 0.5 | 0,14,0 | 0 | 10 | 0.574 | 0,12,4 | 12 | 18 | 0.491 0.004 |
| 003 | 90 | 73 | 0.5 | 12,0,6 | 62 | 55 | 0 | 0,16,0 | 53 | 42 | 0.987 | 0,13,4 | 37 | 33 | 0.004 0.028 |
| 103 | 101 | 97 | 0 |  |  |  |  | 0,18,0 | 54 | 65 | $0 \cdot 496$ | 0,14,4 | 11 | 14 | 0.965 |
| $\overline{2} 03$ | 78 | 78 | 0 | 307 | 21 | 23 | 0 |  |  |  |  | 0,15,4 | 60 | 61 | 0.488 |
| $\overline{3} 03$ | 28 | 29 | 0 | 207 | 19 | 12 | 0 | 011 | 103 | 119 | 0.501 | 0,16,4 | 0 | 8 | 0.970 |
| $\underline{4} 03$ | 59 | 42 | 0 | 107 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 021 | 79 | 85 | $0 \cdot 494$ |  |  |  |  |
| 503 | 64 | 55 | $0 \cdot 5$ | 007 | 35 | 35 | 0 | 031 | 35 | 34 | 0.996 | 015 | 22 | 28 | 0.510 |
| $\overline{6} 03$ | 214 | 213 | 0.5 | $\underline{1} 07$ | 33 | 24 | 0.5 | 041 | 128 | 142 | 0.473 | 025 | 115 | 122 | 0.499 |
| 703 | 56 | 48 | 0.5 | $\overline{2} 07$ | 33 | 34 | 0 | 051 | 89 | 104 | $0 \cdot 493$ | 035 | 54 | 55 | 0.507 |

Table 2 (cont.)

| $h k l$ | $\left\|F_{0}\right\|$ | $\left\|F_{c}\right\|$ | $\alpha / 2 \pi$ | $h k l$ | $\left\|F_{0}\right\|$ | $\left\|F_{c}\right\|$ | $\alpha / 2 \pi$ | $h k l$ | $\left\|F_{o}\right\|$ | $\left\|F_{c}\right\|$ | $\alpha / 2 \pi$ | $h k l$ | $\left\|F_{o}\right\|$ | $\left\|F_{c}\right\|$ | $\alpha / 2 \pi$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 045 | 49 | 38 | $0 \cdot 464$ | 016 | 72 | 64 | $0 \cdot 496$ | 017 | 51 | 57 | 0.502 | 018 | 12 | 5 | $0 \cdot 460$ |
| 055 | 34 | 34 | $0 \cdot 027$ | 026 | 23 | 29 | $0 \cdot 504$ | 027 | 63 | 57 | 0.997 | 028 | 17 | 17 | $0 \cdot 003$ |
| 065 | 89 | 94 | 0.497 | 036 | 39 | 37 | $0 \cdot 009$ | 037 | 0 | 10 | 0.962 | 038 | 25 | 27 | 0.490 |
| 075 | 23 | 27 | $0 \cdot 484$ | 046 | 0 | 4 | $0 \cdot 625$ | 047 | 0 | 6 | $0 \cdot 479$ | 048 | 32 | 36 | 0.504 |
| 085 | 36 | 29 | $0 \cdot 998$ | 056 | 24 | 26 | 0.965 | 057 | 0 | 6 | $0 \cdot 115$ | 058 | 16 | 15 | 0.975 |
| 095 | 23 | 25 | 0.501 | 066 | 32 | 28 | 0.487 | 067 | 55 | 53 | 0.992 | 068 | 33 | 36 | 0.006 |
| 0,10,5 | 63 | 74 | 0.495 | 076 | 69 | 74 | 0.993 | 077 | 27 | 25 | $0 \cdot 009$ | 078 | 23 | 31 | 0.497 |
| 0,11,5 | 0 | 10 | 0.475 | 086 | 33 | 37 | $0 \cdot 006$ | 087 | 0 | 7 | 0.908 | 088 | 18 | 20 | $0 \cdot 487$ |
| 0,12,5 | 27 | 22 | 0.010 | 096 | 32 | 29 | 0.508 | 097 | 51 | 47 | $0 \cdot 503$ |  |  |  |  |
| 0,13,5 | 18 | 15 | 0.995 | 0,10,6 | 27 | 26 | $0 \cdot 502$ | 0,10,7 | 11 | 10 | 0.968 | 019 | 14 | 18 | 0.995 |
| 0,14,5 | 19 | 16 | $0 \cdot 468$ | 0,11,6 | 55 | 64 | 0.991 | 0,11,7 | 20 | 21 | $0 \cdot 004$ | 029 | 29 | 33 | $0 \cdot 495$ |
| 0,15,5 | 25 | 33 | $0 \cdot 489$ | 0,12,6 | 21 | 24 | 0.011 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | 0,13,6 | 15 | 20 | 0.985 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |



Fig. 7. Electron density projection along (a) the $a$-axis and (b) the $b$-axis.
Contours are at the intervals of $8 \mathrm{e} . \AA^{-2}$ and $16 \mathrm{e} . \AA^{-2}$ below and above 56 e. $\AA^{-2}$ respectively, zero contours being dotted.


Fig. $8(a)$.


Fig. 8(b).


Fig. 8(c).
Fig. 8. Schematic drawings of the structure of harmotome viewed along ( $a$ ) the $a$-axis, ( $b$ ) the $b$-axis and (c) the $c$-axis. The numbers indicate atomic coordinates parallel to the axes of projection, and the dashed and dotted lines the probable positions of composition planes of twinning.
four- and eight-membered rings of tetrahedra as is illustrated in Fig. 8 and closely resembles to those in sanidine and in paracelsian. In fact, if we look upon these frameworks as built up of parallel strings of tetrahedra, which run in the $a$-direction in the case of harmotome, we shall find that the constituent strings are one and the same kind throughout these frameworks, only the ways of their lateral binding being different from one another as seen in Fig. 9.

Through the framework and along the $a$ - and $b$-axes of harmotome, two sets of open channels run, in which barium atoms and water molecules are situated. The minimum width of the channels along the $a$-axis is about $4 \AA$ and those along the $b$-axis have the crosssection of $3 \times 4 \AA^{2}$. These two sets of channels cross at right angle, leaving spacious vacancies at the intersections.

The edge-lengths of silicon (aluminium)-oxygen


Fig. 9. Comparison of the alumino-silicate frameworks (a) in harmotome, (b) in sanidine and (c) in paracelsian.

tetrahedra are in good agreement with those in other silicates, ranging from $2 \cdot 57 \AA$ to $2.76 \AA$. The tetrahedra can be classified into two groups: one sharing one oxygen atom with a barium atom, and the other sharing two oxygen atoms with a barium atom. These two groups of tetrahedra may be considered as suggesting an ordering of silicon and aluminium in such a way that a tetrahedron in the former group is occupied by $\mathrm{Si}^{4+}$, while that in the latter group by $\frac{1}{2}\left(\mathrm{Si}^{4+}+\mathrm{Al}^{3+}\right)$.

Barium atoms are surrounded by six oxygen atoms and four water molecules and the coordination polyhedron is irregular. Eight of twelve water molecules in a unit cell are bound to barium atoms, but the remainder are not, being surrounded either by one oxygen atom and two water molecules or by two oxygen atoms and one water molecule. The coordinations around the molecules are all triangular, except that around $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}-4$ which is tetrahedral.

Though almost all the atoms are arranged nearly in a centrosymmetric structure, two of the water molecules in an asymmetric unit are largely deviated from it. This is probably the reason why piezoelectricity is observed with the crystal, while the statistical distribution of the diffracted X-ray intensities as well as the morphological habit suggests a centrosymmetric structure.

Harmotome invariably contains a small amount of alkali, one atom per unit cell in the specimens investigated, which has been neglected altogether during the course of the structure determination. Examination of the structure thus determined shows that there are three probable positions for the atoms around $(0.15,0.25,0.72),(0.07,0.11,0.58)$ and $(0.07$, $0.39,0.58)$. However, no appreciable peak is found at any of these positions and this might suggest a statistical distribution of the atoms over the points.

The cruciform twins characteristic of harmotome are well explained with the structure determined here. The twinning planes of the fourling are (001) and (021), and it is quite easy to obtain the structural model of the fourling if we take the following planes as composition planes; one parallel to (001) containing the $\mathrm{O}_{7}$ atom, and the other parallel to (021) passing through the origin, both indicated by dashed and dotted lines in Fig. 8(a). Sometimes, another type of twin is observed, having (110) as the twinning plane. It is also possible to envisage the model by slight shifts of atomic positions on and near the composition plane along the position shown by a dashed and dotted line in Fig. 8(c).

Finally, consideration must be made on the relation between harmotome and phillipsite. Since these two kinds of minerals are similar to each other in their unit cell dimensions, chemical compositions and crystal habits, they have been considered to be isomorphous. However, the space for a barium atom in the harmotome structure described above is too wide for a calcium atom in phillipsite, and it seems impossible,
by any slight shifts of neighbouring oxygen atoms, to make the coordination polyhedron contracted so as to fit a calcium atom. This situation may be relevant to the difference in the amount of alkali contents in these minerals, namely phillipsite contains two alkali atoms per unit cell, while harmotome only one atom or less. Thus, the arrangement of metal atoms and water molecules in phillipsite is probably considerably different from that in harmotome. In fact, the results of our preliminary investigation into the structure of phillipsite seem to suggest that its alumino-silicate framework may take a configuration somewhat different from the one in harmotome, though we still believe that these two are essentially of identical structural scheme.
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#### Abstract

The effect of off-diagonal terms of the normal equations of least-squares on the refinement of parameters (both positional and thermal) is discussed critically. It is shown that the usual procedure of neglecting the off-diagonal terms during refinement of positional parameters in a three-dimensional structure, or in a projection without overlap of atoms, is valid only if the structure or the projection concerned is centrosymmetric and the axes are orthogonal. If the structure is noncentrosymmetric and contains atoms or groups of atoms which are centrosymmetric and which contribute predominantly to the structure factor, then a new type of overlap termed 'inverse overlap' has to be taken into account. The inverse overlap is particularly significant since it can occur in three dimensions. Finally it is shown that in the refinement of thermal parameters the linear approximation formula is not valid and all the off-diagonal terms involving the $B_{i j}$ 's which occur for the particular symmetry have to be included in the refinement.


## 1. Introduction

Since it was first suggested by Hughes (1941), the method of least-squares has been widely used in crystal-structure analysis. However, no critical examination of the method, particularly the effect of off-diagonal terms, as applied to the structural refinement seems to be available in the literature. Such a study was undertaken by the author and the results obtained are presented in this paper.

The usual procedure of neglecting the off-diagonal terms in the refinement of three-dimensional structures, and in two dimensional projections without overlap, although justifiable in centrosymmetric structures, is not valid for non-centrosymmetric structures containing atoms or groups which are centrosymmetric and which contribute predominantly to the structure factor. Under these conditions it is necessary to take into account what may be termed the 'inverse overlap' of atoms. The linear-approximation formula

